## Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT    |
|---------------------------------|
| NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |

JANE DOE LS 90,

Plaintiff,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 23-cv-03956-AGT

## ORDER REASSIGNING CASE

1B.But

Clerk, United States District Court

IT IS ORDERED that this case has been reassigned using a proportionate, random and blind system pursuant to General Order No. 44 to the Honorable Susan Illston in the San Francisco division for all further proceedings. Counsel are instructed that all future filings shall bear the initials SI immediately after the case number.

All hearing and trial dates presently scheduled are vacated. However, existing briefing schedules for motions remain unchanged. Motions must be renoticed for hearing before the judge to whom the case has been reassigned, but the renoticing of the hearing does not affect the prior briefing schedule. Other deadlines such as those for ADR compliance and discovery cutoff also remain unchanged.

Mark B. Busby

Dated: August 29, 2023

23

24

25

26

27

28

A true and correct copy of this order has been served by mail upon any pro se parties.